UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT

DISTRICT OF ARIZONA

UNITED STATES OF AMERICA

V

DATE: <u>August 30, 2011</u>

ORDER OF DETENTION PENDING TRIAL

James F. Metcalf United States Magistrate Judge

	Enrique Reyes-Munoz	Case Number: _	11-7447M	
	with the Bail Reform Act, 18 U.S.C. § 3	3142(f), a detention hearing	was held on August 29, 2011. Defendant was idence the defendant is a flight risk and order the	
	ne defendant pending trial in this case.	a propondorano en ano en		
		FINDINGS OF FACT		
I find by a pre	ponderance of the evidence that:			
\boxtimes	The defendant is not a citizen of the United States or lawfully admitted for permanent residence.			
\boxtimes	The defendant, at the time of the charg	The defendant, at the time of the charged offense, was in the United States illegally.		
	If released herein, the defendant faces removal proceedings by the Bureau of Immigration and Customs Enforcement, placing him/her beyond the jurisdiction of this Court and the defendant has previously been deported or otherwise removed.			
	The defendant has no significant conta	has no significant contacts in the United States or in the District of Arizona.		
	The defendant has no resources in the United States from which he/she might make a bond reasonably calculated to assure his/her future appearance.			
	The defendant has a prior criminal history.			
	The defendant lives/works in Mexico.			
	The defendant is an amnesty applicant but has no substantial ties in Arizona or in the United States and has substantial family ties to Mexico.			
	There is a record of the defendant using numerous aliases.			
	The defendant attempted to evade law enforcement contact by fleeing from law enforcement.			
	The defendant is facing a maximum of	y	ears imprisonment.	
The Court incorporates by reference the material findings of the Pretrial Services Agency which were reviewed by the Court at the time of the hearing in this matter, except as noted in the record. CONCLUSIONS OF LAW				
1. 2.			the appearance of the defendant as required.	
a corrections f appeal. The co of the United S defendant to t IT IS G deliver a copy Court.	efendant is committed to the custody of the acility separate, to the extent practicable, defendant shall be afforded a reasonable of States or on request of an attorney for the he United States Marshal for the purpose APPEALS DRDERED that should an appeal of this of the motion for review/reconsideration to FURTHER ORDERED that if a release to	ne Attorney General or his/ from persons awaiting or sepportunity for private consu- Government, the person in e of an appearance in connot and THIRD PARTY RELE detention order be filed with a Pretrial Services at least of a third party is to be consider.	her designated representative for confinement in erving sentences or being held in custody pending ultation with defense counsel. On order of a court charge of the corrections facility shall deliver the ection with a court proceeding. EASE I the District Court, it is counsel's responsibility to one day prior to the hearing set before the District ered, it is counsel's responsibility to notify Pretrial	
Services suffi investigate the	ciently in advance of the hearing before potential third party custodian.		Pretrial Services an opportunity to interview and	